

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

In re Application of:)	
Arthur S. Robb)	Examiner: Sunit Pandya
)	
)	Art Unit: 3714
Serial No: 10/670,555)	
)	Confirmation No.: 8125
Filed: September 23, 2003)	
)	Deposit Acct. No: 04-1403
Title: Lottery and Gaming Systems with)	
Multi-Theme Instant Win Games)	Client ID: 22827

PROPOSED AMENDMENT AND REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION

Commissioner for Patents
Post Office Box 1450
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

Dear Sir:

This is a response/amendment/letter in the above-identified application and includes the herewith attachment of same date and subject which is incorporated herein by reference and the signature below is to be treated as the signature to the attachment in absence of a signature thereto.

Fee requirements (if any) have been calculated as shown below:

	Claims remaining after amendment		Highest number previously paid for		Present Extra		Additional Fee
Total Effective Claims	20	minus	20	=	0	X \$ 52 =	\$ <u>0.00</u>
Independent Claims	3	minus	3	=	0	X \$220 =	\$ <u>0.00</u>

- ☐ A Request for Continued Examination is requested in view of the: (\$810) \$ 0.00
- ☐ Previously submitted Amendment / Response dated _____
- ☐ Enclosed Amendment / Reply
- ☐ Enclosed Affidavit(s) / Declaration(s)
- ☐ Enclosed Information Disclosure Statement

Since Official Action set an original due date of _____,

PETITION is hereby made for an extension to cover the date this response is filed for which the requisite fee is enclosed (1 month \$130; 2 months \$490; 3 months \$1,110; 4 months \$1,730, 5 months \$2,350) \$ 0.00

If amendment enters proper multiple dependent claim(s) into this application for first time, add \$390.00 (per application) \$ 0.00

If Terminal Disclaimer enclosed, add Rule 20(d) Official Fee (\$140.00) \$ 0.00

Other: _____ \$ 0.00

SUBTOTAL: \$ 0.00

If "small entity" verified statement filed ☐ previously,
☐ herewith, enter one-half (½) of subtotal and subtract - \$ 0.00

TOTAL FEE ENCLOSED: \$ 0.00


The Commissioner is hereby authorized to charge any fee specifically authorized hereafter, or any fees in addition to the fee(s) filed, or asserted to be filed, or which should have been filed herewith or concerning any paper filed hereafter, and which may be required under Rules 16-18 (deficiency only) now or hereafter relative to this application and the resulting official document under Rule 20, or credit any overpayment, to our Account No. shown in the heading hereof. This statement does not authorize charge of the issue fee in this case.

DORITY & MANNING ATTORNEYS AT LAW, P.A.

ADDRESS:

Post Office Box 1449
Greenville, SC 29602 USA
Customer ID No.: 22827
Telephone: (864) 271-1592
Facsimile: (864) 233-7342

By: STEPHEN E. BONDURA Reg. No: 35,070

Signature: 

Date: AUGUST 10, 2009

I hereby certify that this correspondence and all attachments and any fee(s) are being electronically transmitted via the internet to the U.S. Patent and Trademark Office using the Electronic Patent Filing System on AUGUST 10, 2009.

JACQUELINE M. LEONARD

(Typed or printed name of person transmitting documents)


(Signature of person transmitting documents)

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

In re Application of:)	
Arthur S. Robb)	Examiner: Sunit Pandya
)	
)	Art Unit: 3714
Serial No: 10/670,555)	
)	Confirmation No.: 8125
Filed: September 23, 2003)	
)	Deposit Acct. No: 04-1403
Title: Lottery and Gaming Systems with)	
Multi-Theme Instant Win Games)	Client ID: 22827
)	

PROPOSED AMENDMENT AND REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION

Commissioner for Patents
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

Sir:

The present communication is in response to the Final Office Action dated June 9, 2009, in the above captioned application. Applicant respectfully requests entry of the Proposed Amendment to the claims and reconsideration of the rejections set forth in the Final Office Action, as set forth herein.

As an initial matter, the PTO is again earnestly requested to recognize the change of attorney and correspondence documents properly executed and filed in this application on August 28, 2007. Despite following all required procedures and placing numerous phone calls to various PTO personnel regarding this issue, the PTO is still mailing documents to the prior counsel of record, which is a serious detriment to the applicant.